

National Laboratory for HIV Reference Services Sexually Transmitted and Bloodborne Infections National Microbiology Laboratory Public Health Agency of Canada

# HIV Viral Load Quality Assessment Program Summary for Panel HIVVL 2023Oct31

2023Oct31 HIV-1 VL Panel								
Subtype	Panel Sample Pair	Viral Load Consensus Mean <sup>1</sup>	Viral Load Mean Characterization by the NLHRS	Labs Reporting Incorrect Status				
В	B/C/E/F/G	3.18 <sup>2</sup> , 3.11 <sup>3</sup> , 3.06 <sup>4</sup> , 2.93 <sup>5</sup>	3.21 <sup>2</sup>					
N/A	A/D/H	TND	TND					

1. Mean consensus (Log10 cp/mL) calculated from results submitted by participants with outliers removed.

2. Based on the Hologic Aptima HIV-1 assay.

3. Based on the Roche cobas 6800 HIV-1 assay

4. Based on the Roche cobas 8800 HIV-1 assay

5. Based on the Abbott RealTime HIV-1 assay

No aberrant findings results observed for the 2023Oct31 panel

Note: The uncertainty of each participant's results for the 2023Oct31 panel is presented in Appendix 1, Table 2



National Laboratory for HIV Reference Services Sexually Transmitted and Bloodborne Infections National Microbiology Laboratory Public Health Agency of Canada

## HIV Viral Load Quality Assessment Program <u>Final Report for Panel HIVVL 2023Oct31</u>

Issue 2023-01-29

## Introduction

This final report is specific to the 2023Oct31 panel only and is publicly available. The NLHRS distributed the 2023Oct31 and 2024Apr16 panels on October 17, 2023. The identity of the participants is not disclosed. The deadline for results submission was October 31, 2023. The preliminary report was issued on November 20, 2023.

## Panel Samples, HIV Test Kits, and Data Entry

- Panel Composition The 2023Oct31 panel contained the following:
  - One negative sample sent in triplicate (A, D, H); defibrinated human plasma.
  - One positive HIV-1 RNA sample (Accurun 315 series 500, subtype B) diluted to approximately 1000 cp/mL in defibrinated human plasma (Basemetrix 53, Seracare Life Sciences Inc.) aliquoted in five replicates (B, C, E, F, G) and stored at -80°C.
  - The NLHRS characterized the positive panel members on the Hologic Panther platform to assess the Log10 cp/mL value prior to panel send out (Summary page).
  - Panels were sent to 18 participants including the NLHRS on October 17, 2023.
  - Metrological traceability is not applicable to this panel.
  - Uncertainty is applicable to this panel. Each participant's uncertainty for the 2023Oct31 panel is presented in Appendix 1, table 2.
- *HIV Viral Load Test Kits* Six different assays were used by the participants (including the NLHRS) who returned results.
- Data entry Results entry for this panel utilized an NML developed website.

## Homogeneity and Stability

- The homogeneity of the 2023Oct31 HIV-1 viral load panel was assessed by using the Roche cobas 6800 peer group (n=5) for the positive sample set (B/C/E/F/G). All participants were able to detect HIV-1 RNA and the results were within ± 0.5 Log10 cp/mL of the group mean (Appendix 1). There is no indication of heterogeneity in the panel samples.
- The stability of the 2023Oct31 HIV-1 viral load panel was assessed by comparing the group mean generated by the participants for the positive sample replicates with the results from the characterization of the samples. The difference between both means did not exceed ± 0.5 Log10 cp/mL, meeting the stability criteria.

## <u>Results</u>

- Evaluation Criteria:
  - Negative samples: Expected result to be "Target not detected".
  - Positive samples: Expected viral load results to be in Log10 cp/mL and within ± 0.5 Log10 cp/mL of their respective peer group.

## 1. Statistical Analysis (General)

- $\circ$  No outliers were detected in this event (Grubb's test).
- Analysis was not performed for small peer groups of n<=2 (Roche cobas 4800, Cepheid GeneXpert II)</li>
- Negative samples were analyzed qualitatively.
- 2. Group Analysis (Summary Statistics) (Figure 1, Tables 1 and 2)
  - $\circ$  The replicate panel samples were combined for the summary statistics (B/C/E/F/G).

## Inter-Lab Variation (Tables 1 and 2)

- Difference between the minimum and maximum results for each sample within a peer group (the maximum value divided by the minimum).
  - 1.17 Log10 cp/mL for the Roche cobas 6800, 1.10 Log10 cp/mL for the Roche cobas 8800, 1.13 Log10 cp/mL for the Abbott RealTime (0.6 mL) and 1.07 Log10 cp/mL for the Hologic Panther peer group.

The National Laboratory for HIV Reference Services is accredited to ISO/IEC 17043 by the Standards Council of Canada for the specific scope of accreditation published on <u>www.scc.ca</u>



Figure 1: Viral load results for the Roche cobas 6800, Roche 8800, Abbott RealTime and the Hologic Panther peer group.

#### **Reproducibility**

 To assess intra-reproducibility, five replicates of the positive sample were included in the panel. The standard deviation of the five replicates are illustrated in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Participants' standard deviation for the positive replicates for the 2023Oct31 test event

## 3. Comparison Between the Major and Minor Peer Groups (Figure 3)

- The results between the major peer group (Roche cobas 6800, Roche cobas 8800, Abbott RealTime and Hologic Panther) and the minor peer group (n<=2; i.e. Roche cobas 4800, Cepheid GeneXpert II) for the sample group B/C/E/F/G were comparable (within ± 0.5 Log10 cp/mL).</li>
- A proper and fair comparison between the different peer groups would require more users of the platforms within the minor peer group.



Figure 3: Viral load comparison between the different viral load platforms for 2023Oct31 test event

## 4. Individual Analysis (Participant Statistics) (Figure 4)

- The percent difference (% D): the difference from the mean for participants in the major peer group, was calculated for each participant per sample pair.
- No major differences were identified between the peer group mean and the participants' results in this test event.



## Percent Difference for Samples B/C/E/F/G (Subtype B)

Figure 4: Percent difference from the peer group mean for B/C/E/F/G.

#### Findings

No aberrant results were observed in this event. In this event, we have noticed that no participant used the Roche CAP-CTM platform to test the proficiency panel as the CAP-CTM platform is planned for obsolescent by the vendor. We will monitor if this trend applies to the Abbott m2000 platform in future test events.

We value each laboratory's participation in these QA test events and your suggestions for improvement. The NLHRS is committed to improve all aspects of the HIV-1 viral load proficiency testing program in order to provide quality proficiency testing to our participants.

If you have any comments, suggestions or concerns, please contact us at:

nlhrs.qap-peq.lnsrv@phac-aspc.gc.ca

Thank you for your participation in the NLHRS Quality Assurance Program

John Ar

John Ho Quality Assurance Program Coordinator National Laboratory for HIV Reference Services Public Health Agency of Canada Tel: (204) 789-6518

Dutue

Dr. John Kim Laboratory Chief National Laboratory for HIV Reference Services Public Health Agency of Canada Tel: (204) 789-6527

## Appendix 1: Summary of the 2023Oct31 viral load results

Table 1: Summary of HIV-1 viral load results of each respective peer group (Log10 HIV-1 RNA cp/mL)								
	Roche cobas 6800	Roche cobas 8800	Abbott RealTime HIV-1	Hologic Panther				
Mean	3.11	3.06	2.93	3.18				
Minimum	2.92	2.92	2.79	3.07				
Median	3.08	3.06	2.92	3.17				
Maximum	3.42	3.21	3.15	3.27				
%CV	4.02	2.69	3.18	1.82				
SD	0.12	0.08	0.09	0.06				
Inter-lab Variation	1.17	1.10	1.13	1.07				

Table 2: The viral load results with the expanded uncertainty at 95% confidence interval for the 2023Oct31 test							
event (Log10 HIV-1 RNA cp/mL)							
Lab code	Average Log10 cp/mL	Expanded Uncertainty					
V01	3.07	0.24					
V04	3.02	0.23					
V05	3.08	0.25					
V06	3.30	0.24					
V07	3.11	0.17					
V08	3.05	0.21					
V10	3.02	0.22					
V11	3.13	0.08					
V12	3.08	0.11					
V13	3.09	0.14					
V14	2.96	0.25					
V21	2.86	0.15					
V27	3.03	0.19					
V29	2.98	0.15					
V33	3.18	0.10					
V49	3.00	0.17					
V50	3.22	0.13					
V51	3.00	0.18					





## **Appendix 3: Troubleshooting**

Troubleshooting; common causes of outlying and/or aberrant results in Serology and Molecular Laboratories.

Type of Error	Possible Cause(s)	Pre-Analytical	Analytical	Post- Analytical		
Sample	Can occur during specimen reception or testing. May result in	1				
mix-up	outlying/aberrant results for one or all samples mixed-up.	•	•			
	Incorrect test ordering by physician	✓				
	Incorrect shipment address	✓				
	<ul> <li>Selecting the wrong assay for data entry</li> </ul>	✓				
	<ul> <li>Interchanging results for two or more specimens</li> </ul>			$\checkmark$		
	Entering incorrect results			$\checkmark$		
	• Entering values in the incorrect field (e.g., OD as S/Co)			✓		
Transcription	<ul> <li>Entering values in the incorrect unit (e.g., IU/mL instead of log10 copies/mL)</li> </ul>			✓		
	Using a comma instead of a dot to denote a decimal point			✓		
	Selecting the incorrect assay interpretation or analyte			✓		
	Failure to recommend follow-up testing where necessary			✓		
	It is recommended all results that are manually transcribed or entered electronically be checked by a second individual to avoid transcription errors.					
	Sporadic test results identified as outlying and/or aberrant can be classified as random events. Possible causes of					
	random error include:					
	<ul> <li>Incorrect sample storage/shipping conditions</li> </ul>	✓	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>			
Outlying	Incorrect test method	✓	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>			
and/or	<ul> <li>Insufficient mixing of sample, especially following freezing</li> </ul>		✓			
Results	Poor pipetting		✓			
(random error)	Ineffective or inconsistent washing		✓			
( <u>Indiana error</u> )	Transcription errors	✓		✓		
	Cross-contamination or carryover	✓	✓			
	Presence of inhibitors to PCR		<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>			
	A series of test results identified as outlying and/or aberrant may be due to a systematic problem. Systematic					
	problems may be due to:					
	Reagents contaminated, expired, or subject to batch variation		✓			
	Instrument error or malfunction		✓			
	Insufficient washing		✓			
Outlying	<ul> <li>Incorrect wavelength used to read the assay result</li> </ul>		✓			
and/or	<ul> <li>Cycling times too long/short or temperature too high/low</li> </ul>		✓			
ADEITAIL Results (systematic	<ul> <li>Incubation time too long/short or temperature too high/low</li> </ul>		✓			
error	Insufficient mixing/centrifuging before testing		✓			
<u></u> ,	<ul> <li>Incorrect storage of test kits and/or reagents</li> </ul>	✓				
	Contamination of master-mix, extraction areas or equipment		✓			
	Ineffective extraction process		✓			
	Degradation of master-mix components		✓			
	Suboptimal primer design (in-house assays)		✓			

This table was modified from a report produced by the National Reference Laboratory (NRL), Melbourne, Australia.